

20.06.2025

27
jb.
jdt.

C.R.M. (M) 487 of 2025

In Re : An Application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure/under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Malda Police Station Case No. 495 of 2024 dated 16.07.2024 under Sections 85/80(2)/103(1) of the Bharatiya Naya Sanhita and Section 4 of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In Re : Godmal Murmu & Gadmal Murmu

Mr. Abhra Mukherjee
Mr. Sauradeep Dutta
Mr. Arpayan Mukherjee
Mr. S. K. Mandal
Ms. Himadree Ghosh

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Mr. Sujan Chatterjee

... For the State

The petitioner is the husband of the deceased/ victim and is in custody for more than 200 days. Charges have been framed. The petitioner prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have considered the material on record. Statement of witnesses recorded under Section 161 of the Code of Criminal Procedure implicates the petitioner in the alleged offence. Post Mortem report supports the prosecution case. It appears that the petitioner used to reside with the victim in the house where the victim died.

Considering the gravity of offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioner therein, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected at this stage.

The application is disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)