

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

Present:
The Hon'ble Justice Debangsu Basak
And
The Hon'ble Justice Md. Shabbar Rashidi

**M.A.T. 748 of 2025
With
CAN 1 of 2025
CAN 2 of 2025**

**Soukat Ali
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

For the Appellant : Mr. Saikat Chatterjee
Mr. Purnakar Biswas

For the State : Mr. Suman Ghosh
Mr. Arka Mondal

Heard & Judgment on : **July 24, 2025**

DEBANGSU BASAK, J.:-

1. Appeal is at the behest of the writ petitioner and directed against an order dated March 26, 2025 in W.P.A. 5874 of 2025.
2. Appeal is beyond time.
3. Department reports a delay of 13 days.
4. Considering the averments in the application for condonation of delay and for the ends of justice, we deem it appropriate to condone the delay in making and filing the appeal.
5. CAN 2 of 2025 is disposed of accordingly.

6. By consent of the parties, the appeal is taken up for final hearing.
7. Learned advocate appearing for the appellant submits that, the appellant and his family members are being falsely implicated in numerous criminal cases. He submits that since the appellant protested against certain activities of the police and political personalities at the locale, appellant is now being singled out for the purpose of entanglement in false and frivolous criminal cases. He submits that, even after the filing of the present appeal, another criminal case was filed as against the appellant. He submits that at the very least, some protection be granted to the appellant and his family members.
8. State is represented.
9. We find from the averments made by the appellant it transpires that subsequent to August 10, 2020 when the appellant complained as to certain activity of certain political personalities at the locale, the police started implicating him falsely in several cases.
10. However, the records demonstrate that the police cases were initiated as against the appellant since 2012. There are about 20 police cases which involve the appellant. In some of the police cases, the charge sheet was filed against the appellant.
11. As to the issue of false implication, the appellant may raise the same before the Jurisdictional Court, if so advised. Since charges stand framed as against the appellant in some of the criminal cases at this stage as a Writ Court we not called upon to pronounce that the appellant stands falsely implicated in such criminal cases. Such

defence if canvassed before the Jurisdictional Court, no doubt would it be considered by the Jurisdictional Court, in accordance with law.

12. In such circumstances, we find no merit in the present appeal.

13. **M.A.T. 748 of 2025** and the connected application being **CAN 1 of 2025** are **disposed of** without any order as to costs.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

14. I agree.

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi J.)

S.D.