

30.06.2025
Court No.28
Item No. 29
tbsr
Rejected

CRM (A) 1702 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Eco Park** P.S. Case No.**219 of 2024** dated **03.10.2024** under Sections **3/4/5/7** Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act and r/w 4/17 Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act (POCSO) Act, 2012.

And

In the matter of: **Amlan Goswami**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Shamik Chatterjee
Mr. Aditya Bikram Mahata
Mr. S. Kabir
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Soumik Ganguly
Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee
.....for the State.

Mr. Ramashis Mukherjee
Mr. Rameshwar Sinha
Ms. Debanjana Sen
....for the de facto complainant

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is not at all connected with the alleged offences. He is only the driver of the vehicle in which the girls were allegedly brought for the purpose of trafficking.

Heard the learned counsel for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel for the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail. He submits that the petitioner was deeply involved in illicit trafficking of women and minor girls. Charges under the POCSO Act have also been added. The present petitioner is one of the prime accused in this case. He relies on the statements of two minor victims recorded before the learned Magistrate as also on the

statement of other minor victims recorded by the police where the petitioner's name clearly transpires.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this to be a fit case to grant anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)