

08.08.2025
Ct. 39
D/L.15
pp

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
SPECIAL CIVIL JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

**CPAN 874 of 2025
In
WPA 3065 of 2024**

**Halima Gazi (Dhali)
-Vs-
Chitradip Sen**

Mr. Saktipada Jana,
Mr. Sudarshan Ghosh

.... for the petitioner

Mr. Santanu Kumar Mitra,
Mr. Subhabrata Das

... for the alleged contemnor.

Affidavit of compliance filed on behalf of the
alleged contemnor is taken on record.

After perusing the affidavit of compliance, it
appears that the petitioner and the private respondent
in the writ petition has been adjudged on the basis of
the marks obtained by them out of the total marks of
800.

The petitioner says that the procedure is faulty
and as such, there is no compliance of the order under
contempt.

After perusing the order under contempt and the
procedure adopted, I find that there has been
substantial compliance of the order. The petitioner's
grievance that the adjudication should have been done

on the basis of total marks taken to be 800 cannot be adjudicated in contempt jurisdiction.

The contempt application is disposed by recording substantive compliance of the order.

In the event the petitioner has any grievance as to the procedure applied which requires passing a fresh order, petitioner shall be free to file fresh writ petition, subject to its maintainability in law irrespective of the contempt application having been disposed of.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)