

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

**C.P.A.N. 766 of 2024
in
W.P.A. 22329 of 2023**

**Amenities Engineering Co. & Anr.
-versus
Sri Sujoy Sarkar, Commissioner, Bidhannagar
Municipal Corporation**

**Ms. Sanghamitra Nandy.
...For the Petitioners.**

**Mr. Tirthankar Dey.
... for the BMC**

1. Learned advocate for the petitioners submit that even though the Corporation has ascertained entitlement of the petitioners to receive payment but apart from issuing a letter requesting the petitioner to provide the GST Tax Invoice, the authority has not taken steps to actually disburse payment in favour of the petitioners.
2. Learned advocate for the Corporation submits that the payment cannot be disbursed in the absence of the GST tax invoice.
3. The petitioners are directed to forward the tax invoice to the Corporation for receiving payment.
4. The contempt application stands disposed of.
5. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)