

26. 22.07.2025
Court No.08
(Jayanta/
Tanmoy)

WPA (P) 189 of 2025

Santi Ranjan Dutta
-Versus-
Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Nripendra Krishna Roy
...for the Petitioner.

Mr. Ashok Kr. Chakraborty, Ld. ASG
Mr. Kumar Jyoti Tiwari, Sr. Adv.
Ms. Sarda Sha
...for the Respondent No. 1.

Mr. Sirsanyha Bandopadhyay, Ld. SSC
Mr. Akash Dutta
...for the State.

1. Heard learned Counsel for the parties.
2. In this Public Interest Litigation (in short 'PIL') the petitioner has prayed for the following reliefs:

"a) A writ of Mandamus to directing the Union of India to immediate impose President's rule under Act 356 in whole or part of the State of West Bengal,

b) Promulgation of an Ordinance imposing the provisions of Article 355 on such part of the state of West Bengal as are facing Constitutional breakdown.

c) Issue directions to investigate into the incidents of Murshidabad Maldah Mothabari, Saragachi, Bharatpur and arrest the culprits.

d) A writ or writs directing respondent No. 1 to deploy Central Fences to protest the lives and property of the common people;

e) A writ directing all the Respondents to submit reports explaining the cause of incidents and remedial measures being taken in the matter of attack

on the Judiciary and mayhem in the High Court on 25th April, 2024.

f) And/or to pass such other or further order/orders direction or directions as your Lordship may deem fit and proper.”

3. In our opinion, the PIL is misconceived.
4. This Court cannot issue any mandamus to Government of India to impose President's Rule.
5. The reliefs claimed are beyond the constitutional scheme of Constitution of India.
6. We find no reason to entertain the PIL.
7. Accordingly, this PIL is dismissed.

(Sujoy Paul, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)