

M/L- 1307
08/08/2025
Ct. No.-6
Aritra

C.O. 1724 of 2025

Nirmal Bhattacharya
Vs.
Pijush Kumar Basak

Mr. Surya Prasad Chattopadhyay
....for the opposite party

This matter was mentioned citing urgency.
Considering the urgency pleaded this matter was fixed.

However, when this matter was taken up for
hearing none appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Chattopadhyay, learned advocate appearing for
the opposite party submits that the opposite party has
already received possession through police help.

It appears that the petitioner is not interested to
proceed with the application any further.

However, since the petitioner is not present, CO
1724 of 2025 stands dismissed for default.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)