

CRM (M) 436 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Patashpur**
Police Station Case No. **500** of **2021** dated **08/12/2021** under
Sections **302/34/379/411** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections
25/27/35 of the Arms Act

And

In Re : Tarjen Nayak

... Petitioner.

Adv. Tapan Kumar Dutta Gupta,
Adv. Parvej Anam,
Adv. Rituparna Ghosh,
Adv. Sourav Sardar,
Adv. Puspa,
Adv. Afsana,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Avishek Sinha,
Adv. Shashanka Sekhar Saha,

...for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than three years and
prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
was not named in the FIR and his name transpired in the charge
sheet.

Opposing the prayer, learned counsel for the State submits
that the last witness, i.e., the Investigating officer is being examined.

I have considered the material on record.

The offending weapon, bloodstained wearing apparel of the
victim and some bloodstained currency notes were recovered at the
instance of the petitioner. Trial is at its fag end.

Considering the material on record *prima facie* connecting the
petitioner in the alleged crime, prayer for bail is rejected at this
stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)