

21.05.2025.

PB

Sl. No.8.

Ct. No.25.

WPA 10682 of 2025

Rahaman Molya & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. N.I. Khan,

Mr. Amlan Mukherjee.

.... For the petitioners.

Mr. Amal Kumar Sen,

Ms. Ashima Das (Sil).

....For the State.

87 writ petitioners have filed the instant case seeking relief that their applications for grant of contract carriage permit, which is now pending before the respondent, RTA, South 24 Parganas be disposed of immediately.

Mr. Khan appears for the petitioner. He has submitted that it is the question of avocation of the writ petitioners and they are entitled under the statutes for due consideration of their applications by the respondent no.2, being the statutory authority to the same.

Though not disputing the submission of the petitioner as above in serious tone, Mr. Sen, learned AGP, would say that the said respondent requires sufficient amount of time to decide upon all the 87 applications of the writ petitioners, since the

petitioners have to be heard in person after due service of notice upon them.

Mr. Sen is also very candid to submit that receipt of the applications by the authority has not been verified by him.

After hearing the learned advocates for the respective parties and upon perusing the documents available on record, it appears that the petitioners have submitted their applications along with statutory fees before the respondent no.4 and the same are pending for consideration.

Therefore, the Court finds it proper to dispose of this writ petition by directing the respondent no.2, to consider and decide about the applications of the writ petitioner in accordance with law maximum, within a period of six months from the date of communication of copy of this order.

In doing so, the said respondent shall afford opportunity of hearing to the petitioner and pass a reasoned order, if not the prayer of the petitioner is allowed by the same.

Let it be mentioned that there would not be any impediment for the said respondent to dispose of the applications of the petitioner at an early point of time than stated above, if found fit and proper.

The writ petition is disposed of.

Since no affidavit is called for in this case, the allegations made in the writ petition, are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Urgent certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be supplied to the parties, upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)