

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

Before:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jay Sengupta

CRR 2101 of 2025
CRAN 1 of 2025

Zulfiquar Ghadiyali @ Zulfiquar Zakirhusain Ghadiyali
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rajdeep Majumder Sr.Adv.,
Mr. Pritam Roy,
Ms. Arushi Rathore,
Ms. Triparna Roy.

For the State : Mr. Debasish Roy Id.PP.,
Ms. Shaila Afrin,
Mr. Atanu Ghosh.

For the OP No.2 : Ms. Dipika Sarkar

Heard on : 04.09.2025

Judgement on : 04.09.2025

Jay Sengupta, J. :

This is an application for quashing of a proceeding being Electronic Complex Police Station Case No.215 dated 28.10.2024 under Sections 420 and 406 of the Indian Penal Code.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. It was alleged by the de facto complainant that a sum of about Rupees

Fifty Lakhs was paid to the petitioner in terms of a sponsorship agreement entered into between the parties for giving recruitment and labour quota as well as raising investment for the company. During pendency of the proceeding, a settlement has been arrived at between the private parties and the entire money has been returned.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de facto complainant submits upon instruction from his client that the entire money has been paid by the petitioner. As such, the de facto complainant does not have any objection.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and on the report filed earlier. She relies on a further statement of the de facto complainant and a letter written by him stating that all dues as mentioned in the FIR has been paid back to the de facto complainant and the matter has been fully and finally settled between the private parties.

It appears that the private parties have settled all their disputes that had led to the initiation of the impugned proceeding.

In view of the same and in the interest of justice, I quash the impugned proceeding on the ground of settlement.

The revisional application and the connected application are disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)