

32 17.06.2025
rkd Ct.18

W.P.A. 10393 of 2025

Probin Ghosh
-vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sudip Ghosh Chowdhury,
Ms. Shreyeta Mitra,
Ms. Pritha Biswas,
Mr. Subhodeep Maitra
....for the petitioner.

Mr. Bhaskar Prasad Vaisya,
Mr. Pinaki Bhattacharyya
....for the DPSC, North 24 Parganas.

Mr. Arindam Chattopadhyay
....for the DPSC, Nadia.

Mr. Kaushik Chowdhury
....for the WBPPE.

Mr. Avishek Prasad
....for the State.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

By presenting this writ petition, petitioner has claimed sanction of “A” category scale of pay upon giving credence to B.Ed. qualification which petitioner is possessing. In support of such contention, reliance is placed on the judgment of the Hon’ble Division Bench dated 30th July, 2024 passed on intra-court appeal being **MAT 1038/2024 (Waliur Rahaman & Ors. Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.)**.

It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that when similarly circumstanced other candidates who are working as primary teachers are getting “A” category scale of pay in consideration of their B.Ed. qualification why the petitioner will be deprived of “A”

category scale of pay.

State respondents are represented by learned advocate who has opposed the prayer of the petitioner since according to the State respondents for getting “A” category scale of pay candidate is required to obtain D.EL.Ed. qualification or six months Bridge Course is to be completed to become eligible for receiving “A” category scale of pay.

Paragraph 13 of the order of the Hon’ble Division Bench dated 30th July, 2024 discloses a situation where similarly circumstanced candidates were sanctioned “A” category scale of pay though they did not complete six months Bridge Course.

It is also apparent from the submissions made on behalf of the parties that modality of six months Bridge Course has not yet been formulated by the appropriate authority.

In view of aforesaid situation, the Commissioner of School Education, West Bengal, being respondent no.2 is directed to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 5th March, 2025 by passing a reasoned order after granting opportunity of hearing to the petitioner or his representative within a period of twelve weeks from the date of communication of this order.

The decision to be taken by the respondent no.2 shall be communicated to the petitioner by fortnight thereafter.

Petitioner is directed to communicate this order to the respondent no.2 enclosing copy of the representation dated 5th March, 2025 and other relevant documents by ten days from date.

The writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be, however, no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertaking.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)