

04.12.2025
Court No.28
Item No.7
ssi

CRM (A) 1545 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with Amdanga Police Station Case No. 597 of 2024 dated **28.08.2024** under Sections 329 (4)/115(2)/117(2)/324(4)/118(2)/109/151(2) of the BNS.

And

In the matter of: **Anisur Rahaman**

....Applicant/Petitioner

Mr. Milon Mukherjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Biswajit Manna

...for the petitioner

Mr. Pravas Bhattacharya
Mr. Dipankar Paramanick

..for the State

Learned senior counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The proclamation that was earlier issued against the present petitioner has been set aside by a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court. As far as the role of the present petitioner is concerned, it is not alleged that he was one of the accused who had played an active part in assaulting the victims. Charge sheet has already been submitted.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits as follows. The petitioner is the brother of an MLA and is very influential. He submits that although one of the injured namely, Surabuddin Mondal had earlier in a statement before the police taken the name of the present petitioner as the one who was directing the accused to commit the assault, in the statement before the learned Magistrate, he did not take his name. After submission of charge sheet, the other victim

suffering from a gunshot injury namely, Nasir Uddin Mondal took the name of the petitioner as the one directing the other assailants to commit the crimes. This version was, thereafter, supported by independent witnesses.

Considering the above and the other incriminating materials available in the case diary, I do not consider this is to be a fit case for granting anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)