

22.07.2025
Item No.823
Ct. No.35
dc.

W.P.A. 11062 of 2024

Tamanash Paul
versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Subhas Chandra Atha,
Ms. Payel Paramanik ... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Asish Kumar Guha,
Mr. Benazir Ahmed ... For the State.

Mr. Apurba Kumar Datta
... For the Respondent Nos.6, 7 & 8.

Report submitted by the learned advocate
appearing for the State be kept with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner
submits that the private respondents are
unnecessarily interfering and disturbing the
peaceful enjoyment and possession of the property
of the petitioner and to that effect, in spite of
informing the police authorities, no effective steps
were taken for which the private respondents
continued their illegal activities.

Learned advocate appearing for the private
respondent nos. 6, 7 and 8 disputes and denies
such contention of the petitioner and submits that
a civil dispute has been given the cloak of police
inaction.

State has submitted a report which reflects
that at the relevant point of time since there was a
dispute between the petitioner and the private
respondents, appropriate proceedings were drawn

up and the police authorities ensured that there is no breach of the peace and tranquility.

Prima facie, it reflects that there is dispute and difference between the petitioner and the private respondents. Consequently, if the petitioner faces further inconveniences, petitioner would be at liberty to inform the police authorities who would take steps in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition being WPA 11062 of 2024 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)