

01
26.02.2025
d.p.

**In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

W.P.A 9654 of 2022

**M/s. Paulco Distributors Pvt. Ltd. & Ors.
-versus
HDB Financial Services Ltd. & Ors.**

**Mr. Arijit Bakshi.
...For the Petitioners.**

**Mr. Ranjit Singh,
Mr. Amar Singh,
Ms. Tultul Day,
Mr. Ratul Deb Banerjee,
Ms. Anuska Ray.
...For Respondents.**

1. Mr. Arijit Bakshi, Learned advocate appears on behalf of the petitioners and submits that the petitioners do not intend to proceed with the writ petition any further as the grievance of the petitioners has been redressed by the respondents.

2. The erstwhile advocate-on-record of the petitioners is not handing over the 'no objection' vakalatnama to the petitioners despite repeated requests.

3. Mr. Biswanath Paul, the petitioner no. 2 is personally present in Court along with Mr. Bakshi, learned advocate. The learned advocate identifies the petitioner no. 2. The petitioner no. 2 has himself disclosed before the Court that he is not inclined to proceed with the writ petition any further.

4. In view of the above, the prayer of the petitioners stand allowed.

5. The writ petition stands dismissed as 'not pressed'.

6. The Vakalatnama by Mr. Bakshi, learned advocate be filed in the department in course of the day.

7. The written instruction by the petitioners be retained with the records.

8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)