

55. 22.05.2025
Court No.02.

(Pritam)

WPA 9939 of 2025

Samrat Majhi.
-Vs.-
The Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Soumya Majumder, Sr. Adv.,
Mr. Dhanonjoy Banerjee,
Mr. Pradip Mukherjee,
Mr. Tanmoy Khan,
Mr. Purnankar Biswas.

.....for the petitioner.

Ms. Manju Manot (Agarwal),
Mr. Ashique Mondal.

....for the UoI/respondents.

Affidavit-of-service filed in court today be taken
on record.

Mr. Majumder, learned senior advocate appears
for the petitioner.

Ms. Agarwal, learned advocate appears on behalf
of the Union of India.

The petitioner is an employee of **RPF**, claims
benefits arising out of his employment terms and
conditions, since he has been declared as a medically
disabled person. The petitioner has submitted a
representation dated **April 1, 2025, annexure 23** at
page-68 of the writ petition through its learned
advocate, the same has not been considered.

On the prayer of Mr. Majumder, learned senior
advocate for the petitioner, leave is granted to file
supplementary affidavit. Supplementary affidavit filed

in Court today is taken on record. Copy has been served.

In view of the above, the respondent no.6 and/or any other appropriate or jurisdictional authority upon issuing prior hearing notice of at least **seven days** to the petitioner and after granting him an opportunity of hearing shall decide the said representation dated **April 1, 2025** at **page-68** to the writ petition by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law.

It is needless to mention that the petitioner shall be entitled to participate in the hearing along with his duly authorized representative, if necessary.

The entire exercise shall be carried out by the respondent no.6 and/or by the appropriate authority positively within a period of **six weeks** from the date of this order and the reasoned order shall be communicated positively within a further period of **one week** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed.

It is made clear that, this Court has not gone into merits of the claims of the petitioner and he shall be at liberty to urge whatever points he wishes to urge relying upon documents he wishes to rely, but the same shall not travel beyond the scope of the said representation dated **April 1, 2025**.

In the event, the reasoned decision goes in favour of the petitioner, the appropriate authority shall give an

immediate effect thereto positively within a period of **four weeks** from the date of the such reasoned order to be passed.

It is made clear that this order shall not create any right and equity in favour of the petitioner, if the petitioner does not succeed to his claim strictly in accordance with law.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in this writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

With the aforesaid observations and directions, this writ petition being **WPA 9939** of **2025** stands disposed of without any order as to costs.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)