

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction

Before:

The Hon'ble Mr. Justice Jay Sengupta

C.R.R. 2016 of 2025

Ranjit Paira & Ors.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the Petitioners	:	Mr. Soumyajit Das Mahapatra, Ms. Madhurai Sinha, Ms. Upasana Banerjee.
For the State	:	Mr. Suman De, Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee
Heard on	:	21.07.2025
Judgement on	:	21.07.2025

Jay Sengupta, J. :

1. Let a copy of this application be served upon Mr. Suman De and Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee, learned counsels who ordinarily appear on behalf of the State. They are requested to represent the State in this case. Their engagement may be regularised by the competent authority of the State in due course.
2. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows.
The petitioners are the accused in Sessions Case No.87 of 2020 pending before the learned Sessions Judge, 2nd Court, Jhargram Paschim

Medinipur arising out of Beliabera PS Case No.161/2019 dated 02.12.2019. After purported investigation, a charge was submitted on 12.03.2020. Even charges were framed on 03.03.2022 citing 13 witnesses. Yet, till date only one witness has been examined, that too in part. The present proceeding has remained pending for no fault on the part of the present petitioners.

3. Learned Counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the State would not come in the way if a direction is passed to expedite the trial.
4. I have heard the submissions of the learned counsels appearing on behalf of the petitioners and the State and have perused the revision petition.
5. It appears that some delay has been occasioned in conducting the trial, especially considering the fact that charges had been framed by 03.03.2022.
6. In view of the above and in the interest of justice, the learned Trial Court is requested to conclude the trial in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible by fixing shorter dates and without granting any unnecessary adjournment to any of the parties.
7. With the above observations and directions, the revisional application is disposed of.
8. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order may be supplied to the parties expeditiously, if applied for.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)