

06.05.2025
Court No.28
Item No.29
tbsr
Rejected

CRM (A) 1465 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with **Hili** P.S. Case No.**105 of 2024** dated **12.07.2024** under Sections **21(c)/22(c)/23(c)/27A** of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985.

And

In the matter of: **Kanak Barman**

....Petitioner.

Mr. Kaushik Choudhury
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Avishek Sinha
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai
.....for the State.

Memo of evidence filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that there is no incriminating material available against the petitioner except for a statement of co-accused. The principal accused was granted statutory bail.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that apart from the statement of a co-accused there are CDR and SDR analysis of call records between the principal accused and the petitioner showing that several calls were made between the parties at the relevant point of time.

In view of the incriminating materials contained in the case diary and the restrictions contained in Section 37 of the NDPS, I do not think that this is a fit case for grant of anticipatory bail.

Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)