

May 05, 2025
20 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 266 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Minakhan** Police Station Case No. **265** dated **28/7/2015** under Sections **302/34** of the Indian Penal Code and Sections **25(i)(a)/27** of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : Ajit Ali Molla

... Petitioner.

Adv. Satadru Lahiri,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Iqbal Kabir,

... for the State.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioner was absconding for more than seven years for which trial had to be split. 15 witnesses were examined and the co-accused were examined under Section 313 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. At that juncture the petitioner was brought under arrest and is in custody for more than two years.

The petitioner prays for bail.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

Given the fact that the petitioner was absconding for more than seven years and two witnesses have been examined after *de novo* trial has commenced in respect of the petitioner, this Court is of the view that in the event the petitioner is granted bail at this stage, chances of further abscondence resulting in halting of the trial cannot be ruled out.

In view of the above, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)