

25.09.2025
DL-4
Court No.26
(AD)

CRR 1863 of 2025

In Re.: An application under Sections 438/528 of the Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023/under Sections 397/482 of the
Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973.
And

In the matter of : Arjuman Begum

... ..petitioner

Mr. Mritunjoy Chatterjee, Advocate
... for the petitioner

Ms. Anasuya Sinha, Ld. APP
Ms. Snigdha Saha, Advocate
... for the State

Mr. Tirthankar Dhali, Advocate
Mr. Sayantan Adhya, Advocate
Mr. Rejwan Ali, Advocate
Mr. Parvej Alam, Advocate
Mr. Md. Nadeem, Advocate
... for the opposite party nos.2 to 9

1. Revisional application is directed against the order dated
December 23, 2024 passed in GR Case No.3174 of 2023.
2. By the impugned order, the jurisdictional Court rejected the
prayer for further investigation in the case and proceeded to
accept the FRT submitted on behalf of the police.
3. Learned jurisdictional Court found that, there were property
disputes between the private parties.
4. It is submitted on behalf of the petitioner that there are
several police complaints and counter cases between the
private parties.
5. By an order dated September 19, 2025, the Court required
the State to produce the case diary.

6. Case diary produced in Court, perused and returned to the learned Advocate appearing for the State.
7. There are about five statements of neighbours recorded in the case diary.
8. None of those statements suggests that the private opposite parties were involved in the arson complained of.
9. Court called upon the petitioner to name a person who saw the incident.
10. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner names such person. Court finds that, such person was examined and he does not support the case of the petitioner in the statement recorded as appearing in the case diary.
11. In such circumstances, I find no material irregularity in the order impugned.
12. **CRR 1863 of 2025 is dismissed.**

(Debangsu Basak, J.)