

19
12.06.2025
Ct.No.34
b.das
Rejected

C.R.M. (M) 270 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Baranagar Police Station Case No.396 of 2024 dated 25.09.2024 under Sections 319(2)/318(4)/316(2)/336(2)/340(2)/317(4)/338/61(2) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 66D of the Information Technology Act, 2000.

And

In Re : **Riju Roy & Anr.** ... Petitioners.

Mr. Sourav Chatterjee, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das
Mr. Anirban Banerjee
Ms. Jenia Rudra ... for the petitioners.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Mr. Mainak Gupta ... for the State.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The petitioners are in custody for about 250 days and pray for bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners are not the principal accused and they have only been used for the purpose of utilizing their bank accounts where some money was deposited.

Opposing the prayer, learned counsel for the State submits that an amount of Rs.69 lakhs was diverted in the account of the 2nd petitioner Sandip Dey out of which Rs.7 lakhs was further diverted to the account of co accused Partha Mondal. The petitioners are the beneficiaries of the entire transaction. Charges have been framed and dates fixed for examination of the witnesses.

I have considered the material on record. The material on record prima facie suggests direct involvement of the petitioners in the alleged offence which strikes at the economic security of the society at large.

Considering the nature and gravity of the offence and prima facie involvement of the petitioners therein, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)