

DL-44

19.05.2025
Court No.26
(AD)

MAT 610 of 2025
With
IA No.: CAN 1 of 2025
With
IA No.: CAN 2 of 2025

Manoranjan Maji & Ors.
Vs.
Kabita Manna & Ors.

Mr. Kamalesh Bhattacharya, Advocate
Mr. Lakshminath Bhattacharya, Advocate
Mr. Rajib Kumar Acharyya, Advocate
Mr. Aninda Bhattacharya, Advocate
Mr. Banshi Badan Maity, Advocate
Mr. Goutam Malik, Advocate
Mr. D. Mazumder, Advocate
... for the Appellants.

Mr. Nilanjan Pal, Advocate
Mr. Sabyasachi Mondal, Advocate
Ms. Payel Khanra, Advocate
... for the private respondent

Mr. Chandi Charan De, Ld. AGP
Ms. Reshma Chatterjee, Advocate
.. for the State

1. Report as called for by the order dated May 15, 2025 filed in Court be taken on record.
2. Report shows that, the State demolished the unauthorized construction appearing in Plot No.1.
3. Appellants, private respondent and the State are represented.
4. Learned Advocate appearing for the private respondent draws the attention of the Court to the order dated March 18, 2025 passed by the Sub-Divisional Magistrate, Tamluk, Purba Medinipur and submits that, only one portion of Plot No.1

was encroached upon by his client. The authorities proceeded to demolish the construction which cause on the other portion also.

5. Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the State disputes such contentions of the private respondent.
6. Learned Senior Advocate appearing for the State submits that, the demolition was limited to Plot No.1 only.
7. The private respondent may avail of his remedies, if there be any, before an appropriate authority with regard to an allegation of excess demolition.
8. Since nothing further remains in the present appeal, MAT 610 of 2025 along with connected applications are disposed of.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Md. Shabbar Rashidi, J.)