

28.11.2025

Ct. No. 38
Daily List Sl. No. 3
Moumita

In The High Court at Calcutta

**Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

**CPAN 668 of 2025
in
WPA 21476 of 2024**

Nakul Chandra Gayen & Anr.

-Vs.-

**Mr. Atanu Chowdhury, The Assistant Engineer,
Lakshmikantapur High Way Sub-Division P.W.
(Roads), Directorate Karbala, Dakshin Bishnupur
& Anr.**

Mr. Md. Zeeshanuz Zaman

....For the Petitioner

Ms. Mousumi Haldar Choudhury

....For the State

Mr. Md. Zeeshanuz Zaman, learned advocate
appears for the petitioner.

Ms. Mousumi Haldar Choudhury, learned
advocate appears for the alleged contemnors.

Today the compliance reports have been filed
by the alleged contemnors in the form of their
respective affidavits, the same are taken on record.
Copies are served upon the learned advocate appearing
for the petitioner.

On perusal of the compliance reports it appears
that upon considering the land records, the contemnor
no. 2 has confirmed that the subject land is not a
government land/public land and is a raiyati one. The
report of the alleged contemnor no. 1 shows since, it is
not a public land and is a raiyati one, they have got
nothing to do with it.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner has challenged the correctness of the report.

This is not within the domain of the contempt jurisdiction of this Court. So long the direction of the Court stands complied with, there shall be no act of contempt. The correctness or veracity of the reports cannot be gone into in contempt jurisdiction.

In view of the above, this Court is satisfied that there is no act of contempt.

Accordingly, this contempt proceeding **CPAN 668 of 2025** stands ***dropped*** and ***closed***.

The application **CPAN 668 of 2025** stands ***disposed of***, without any order as to costs.

However, if the petitioner is aggrieved with the correctness of the reports and findings, they may avail of their appropriate legal remedy in accordance with law.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)