

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION
APPELLATE SIDE**

45 22.5.2025
Sc Ct. no.2

WPA 9506 OF 2025

Gopal Roy

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Piyali Sengupta
Mr. Victor Chatterjee.

....For the Petitioner

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, AGP
Mr. K. M. Hossain.

....For the Respondents
State

Mr. T. M. Siddiqui
Mr. Tanay Chakraborty.

....For the Respondent
No. 3

Affidavits-of-service, filed in Court today, is taken
on record.

Ms. Piyali Sengupta, learned counsel appears for
the petitioner.

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, learned Additional
Government Pleader with Mr. K. M. Hossain, learned
State counsel appears for the respondents State.

Mr. Tanay Chakraborty, learned counsel led by Mr.
T. M. Siddiqui, learned Senior Advocate appears for the
respondent no. 3/ district judiciary.

The petitioner being the brother of an employee of
the concerned district judiciary seeks compassionate

appointment. A representation has been submitted dated **February 18, 2024, Annexure-P3 at page 20** to the writ petition.

The record shows that, appropriate authority of the district judiciary has already taken steps by asking necessary records and documents from the applicant.

In view of the above, the respondent no.3 and/or any other appropriate authority or committee having authority and jurisdiction over the issue shall consider the said representation dated **February 18, 2024**, as referred to above, by passing a reasoned order in accordance with law.

The reasoned order shall be passed strictly in accordance with law positively within a period of **ten weeks** from the date of communication of this order and the reasoned order shall be communicated to the petitioner positively within a further period of **one week** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed.

Whenever any record or document shall be asked to be produced, the petitioner shall produce the same and render all necessary assistance to the authority.

It is made clear that, this order shall not create any right or equity in favour of the petitioner if the petitioner does not succeed to his claim strictly in accordance with law.

It is also made clear that, this Court has not gone into the merits of the writ petition.

In the event the reasoned order goes in favour of the petitioner all consequential steps shall be followed accordingly.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

With the above observations and directions, this writ petition, **WPA 9506 of 2025** stands ***disposed of***, without any order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)