

May 02, 2025
30 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (M) 231 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Titagarh**
Police Station Case No. **356** of **2022** dated **22/5/2022** under
Sections **302/34** of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Santu Roy @ Hulo @ Santu Ray

... Petitioner.

Adv. Arnab Chatterjee,
Adv. Sandipan Maity,
Adv. Shrijit Biwas,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Suman De,
Adv. Dipankar Pramanick,

... for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for about three years and prays for
bail.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the co-accused
have been granted bail by this Court earlier.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

I have perused the material on record. Eye witness to the incident
Rahul Ghosh has implicated the petitioner as the principal assailant in
his evidence before the learned trial Court. It appears that the petitioner
dealt the fatal blows on the victim, which resulted in his death.

The trial is at its fag end and the eye witness is being examined as
stated by the learned counsel for the State as well as the petitioner.

In view of the material on record connecting the petitioner to the
crime, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

Learned trial Court is directed to expedite the trial without
granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)