

AD 19  
May 1, 2025  
Ct. 28  
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1387 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kirnahar P.S. Case No.139 of 2024 dated 07.12.2024 under Sections 85/103(1)/80/3(5) of the BNS, 2023.

And

In the matter of:

*Anjina Begum @ Anjira Begum @ Aajina Begum*  
... petitioner

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar

... for the petitioner.

Mr. B. K. Panda

Mr. Abhishek Verma

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is the mother-in-law of the victim/deceased. The suicide took place six years after marriage. The husband and the other in-laws are on bail.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the case diary, refers to the FIR and the statements of the doctor and an independent local witness. He submits that there was constant torture for demand of dowry meted out by the petitioner and the other co-accused. There was a verbal dying declaration made before the doctor and the de facto complainant and this will be evident from their statements.

In view of the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**