

April 28, 2025
29 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM(M) 220 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with
Chanditala Police Station Case No. 863 of 2024 dated 6/11/2024
under Sections 103(1)/218(a) of the BNS.

And

In Re : Debasish Banik @ Munna

... Petitioner.

Adv. Jeenia Rudra,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Soumik Ganguly,

Adv. Nahid Ahmed,,

... for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for about 172 days and prays for
bail. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the case is
based on circumstantial evidence and besides the fact that the
victim had a relationship with the petitioner and visited his house
regularly, there is no other evidence implicating the petitioner in the
alleged offence.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer.

It appears that the dead body of the victim was recovered from
the swamp adjoining the petitioner's house. The victim was
apparently in a relationship with the petitioner and visited his house
regularly.

Considering the material available on record *prima facie*
suggesting involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence,
prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)