

Sl. 1
02.05.2025
Court No.6
BP

C.O. 1388 of 2025

Kashiswar Roy Chowdhury
-versus-
Om Prakash Agarwal

Mr. Tarak Nath Halder
..for the petitioner

Mr. Halder, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that though an order was passed requesting the learned trial judge to make an endeavour to dispose of the ejectment suit as expeditiously as possible but preferably within a period of nine months from the date of disposal of all interlocutory applications yet such time limit has not been indicated in the said order.

This Court, therefore, directs that the following paragraph shall be replaced by the new paragraph which will be indicated hereinafter. The following paragraph shall stand deleted from the order dated 24th April, 2025.

“Taking note of the fact that the suit is for eviction, inter alia, on the ground of reasonable requirement and the same was filed sometime in the year 2012, the learned Civil Judge (Jr. Div.), 2nd Court at Sealdah is requested to make an endeavor to see that the Ejectment Suit No. 103 of 2012 is disposed of

expeditiously after disposal of the all interlocutory applications.”

The aforesaid paragraph shall be replaced by the following paragraph.

“After disposal of the interlocutory applications, the learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Second Court at Sealdah is requested to make an endeavour to dispose of the Ejectment Suit No. 103 of 2012 as expeditiously as possible but preferably within a period of 9 (nine) months from the date of disposal of all interlocutory applications.

This order is passed taking note of the fact that the suit is pending from the year 2012 and the said suit is for eviction, inter alia, on the ground of reasonable requirement.”

Let the aforesaid error be rectified.

This order shall form part of the Order dated 24th April, 2025.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)