

24.04.2025
Item no.11
Court No.39
ss
(Dismissed)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(M) 150 of 2025

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Habibpur
Police Station Case No.0006 of 2025 dated 05.01.2025 under
Sections 10/12 of the POCSO Act and added Section 6 of
POCSO Act.

And

In Re : Ranjit Sarkar @ Ranjan

.... Petitioner

Mr. Kazi M. Rahman

....for the petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, Ld. APP

Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshee

..... for the State

Mr. Pravas Bhattacharya

... for the *de facto* complainant

Service report filed by the State is taken on record.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that out of
misunderstanding the case has been initiated. He seeks for
enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Learned Advocate for the *de facto* complainant submits
that the matter has been settled between the parties.

Opposing such prayer, learned Advocate for the State
submits that the statement of the victim clearly implicates the
petitioner which is also stated by her before the attending
doctor. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Perused the case diary and materials on record.

It is found from the statement of victim that there are
specific allegations against the petitioner of his involvement in

the alleged offence, which has been stated by the victim during her medical examination as well. Considering the above materials and the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the bail prayer is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at an early date without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The application for bail being **CRM (M) 150 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)