

AD 43
April 22, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1262 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Panrui P.S. Case No.190 of 2024 dated 16.11.2024 under Section 140(2) of the BNS, 2023 and under Section 25/27 of Arms Act.

And

In the matter of: Sk. Abdul Ajim @ Ajim @ Abdul Ajim
... petitioner

Mr. Sujoy Sarkar
Ms. Sneha Srivastava
... for the petitioner.

Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshee
... for the State.

Ms. Somparna Chatterjee
... for the de facto complainant.

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner submits that the victim is only an employee of an automobile shop. The petitioner came there with someone, but was later abducted. The petitioner is in no way connected with the alleged offence.

Learned counsel for the de facto complainant strongly opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that it was the petitioner who had been instrumental in orchestrating the abduction. A ransom call was subsequently made.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the statement of the victim made before the learned Magistrate. The name of

the petitioner was specifically taken in the statement. After tapping the calls by the police, they were able to surround the place and recover the victim.

In view of the incriminating materials available in the case diary and the role ascribed to the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)