

25-11-2025
ct no. 10
Sl.11
RP

WPA 8283 of 2025

Md. Farooque
-Versus-

State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr.Ratan Das

...for the petitioner

Mr. Pantu Deb Roy

...for the State

1. Heard the parties through their respective learned Counsels.
2. Main grievance of the petitioner is for changing the time table in respect of the route Babughat to Namkhana via Kolkata, CBT, Diamond Harbour Road, Kakdwip, which was extended up to Namkhana Bus Stop to Frazerganj.
3. The petitioner submits that the respondents did not revise the time table of the petitioner from Frazerganj to Babughat though the permit was granted from Babughat to Frazerganj. It did not revise the time table from Frazerganj to Babughat despite issuing such time table to other vehicle owners whose vehicle has been plying from Howrah to Namkhana and the

time table has been issued from Namkhana to Howrah.

4. The petitioner in the supplementary affidavit stated in Paragraph 3 that in respect of various other vehicle owners, the time table has been issued by reverting the route as mentioned in the permit.
5. The State/respondent submits that since the permit granted to the petitioner was for the route from Babughat to Namkhana extended up to Frazerganj but applied for a new time table from ICGS Frazerganj to Babughat instead of the actual route that is, Babughat to Frazerganj.
6. It is also submitted that vehicle no. WB19K1448 covered under the said permit starts from Babughat to Frazerganj (Terminating point) in the up trip journey and night halts at Babughat after completion of schedule trip. As a result of which the State/respondent did not consider the fair of the petitioner.
7. After hearing the rival contention of the parties and upon perusing the materials available on record, I am of the considered view that the respondent no. 3 shall consider the representation dated 5th

March, 2025 and upon serving a notice to all the similarly situated persons as indicated in Para 3 of the supplementary affidavit filed by the petitioner affirmed on 16th of June, 2025 and pass a reasoned order in accordance with law by giving reasonable opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties within a period of 3 weeks from date.

8. The said decision shall be communicated to the parties within a week thereafter.
9. The writ petitioner being WPA 8283 of 2025 is disposed of without taking any exception to the merits of the case.
10. However, it is made clear that if the respondent no. 3 finds the case of the petition is similar to that of the vehicle owners as indicated in Paragraph 3 of the supplementary affidavit, in that event, the respondent no. 3 shall forthwith take necessary steps and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law.

(Smita Das De, J.)