

17.04.2025  
Sl. No.37  
Ct. 28  
NB

**C.R.M. (A) 1232 of 2025**

In Re:- An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Md. Bazar P.S. Case No.332 of 2023 dated 26.11.2023 under Sections 498A/324/326/307 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In the matter of : Panu Murmu @ Pano Murmmu & Anr.  
... petitioners

Mr. Manjit Singh Id.Sr.Adv.,  
Mr. Debojit Samanta,  
Mr. Arkaprabho Roy,  
Mr. Soujanya Pattanayak.  
...for the petitioners.

Ms. Manisha Sharma.  
...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. In the instant case, the husband is the prime accused. He died. The petitioners not even related to the couple. They are merely neighbours. They have been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits as follows. The injured received severe injuries on head and face. She was in the ICU for some time. There was a scalp hematoma, among other things. As per the statement of the injured recorded before the learned Magistrate, it was the present petitioners who had given blows with brickbat and stone.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary against the present petitioners, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail.

The application for anticipatory bail being **CRM(A) 1232 of 2025** is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order may be delivered to the learned Advocates for the parties, if applied for, upon compliance of all formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**