

AD 27
June 26, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1216 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Kotshila P.S. Case No.6 of 2025 dated 31.01.2025 under Sections 363/365/120B of the IPC, 1860.

And

In the matter of:

Amit Singha Babu ... *petitioner*

Mr. Pawan Kumar Gupta
Mr. Saugata Mitra
Ms. Sofia Nesar
Mr. Santanu Sett

... for the petitioner

Ms. Zareen N. Khan
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai

... for the State

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has nothing to do with the alleged disappearance of the victim lady. She might have disappeared on her own volition.

Learned counsel for the State relies on the statements of the husband of the victim and the minor son. Both of them referred to an acquaintance and/or relationship between the victim and the petitioner. He also relies on the phone call records and tower location analysis and points out that even after such disappearance there were calls made between the victim and the petitioner when both of them were residing at Bengaluru.

Considering the fact that the victim has not been recovered yet and in view of the incriminating materials available in the case diary, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance of requisite formalities.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)