

AD 29
April 16, 2025
Ct. 28
SG

Reject

CRM(A) 1200 of 2025

An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Ranaghat P.S. Case No.03 of 2025 dated 19.02.2025 under Sections 85/80(2)/3(5) of the BNS, 2023 corresponding Section 498A/304/34 IPC.

And

In the matter of: *Dilip Biswas and another*

... petitioners

Ms. Minoti Gomes
Ms. Shanta Sarkar

... for the petitioners.

Mr. Sanjoy Bardhan
Mr. Sujoy Sarkar

... for the State

Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are the parents-in-law of the victim/deceased. The husband is still in custody. The victim was run over at a railway track. It is doubtful whether it was a suicide or an accident. The incident took place on 10.02.2025. But, the FIR was lodged on 19.02.2025.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail, relies on the case diary and submits that the delay has been explained in the FIR. There are eyewitnesses, who clearly stated that they saw the victim commit suicide by coming in front of the train. The incident took place within eight months of marriage. There are clear allegations made by the sister against the parents-in-law as

well as the husband regarding demand for dowry and torture. This is corroborated by the whatsapp chat of the victim with her sister, a portion of which can fairly be treated as suicide note.

Considering the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statements of the eyewitnesses and copies of whatsapp chat collected during investigation and the fact that the investigation is still going on, I am not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)