

11.04.2025
Court No.28
Item No.28
ssi

CRM (A) 1179 of 2025

In Re: - An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Suraksha Nagarik Sanhita in connection with **Pandua** P.S. Case No.**117 of 2025** dated **17.02.2025** under Section **108** of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: **Ranu Begum @ Rana Begam & another.**

....Applicants/Petitioners.

Md. Wasim Akram
Ms. Sabrina Pervin

...for the petitioners.

Mr. Shankar Mukherjee

...for the defacto complainant

Mr. Jaydeep Biswas
Ms. Sana Naaz

...for the State.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submits as follows. The petitioners are two sisters who have been made accused in a case for abatement of suicide. The wife of the victim deceased alleged that the victim had an affair with the petitioner no.1 and that the petitioners and their family members were extorting money from the victim. The victim was a promoter. Actually he had taken money from lenders, but could not repay. This made him go into depression. The petitioners are in no way responsible for the unfortunate death of the victim. The other accused have been granted anticipatory bail by the Session Court.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the defacto complainant opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits that the petitioners, in a planned manner, extorted money from the victim. They even threatened the victim, which prompted him to shift his

residence to a different place. But, still the petitioners chased him. The petitioner no.2 is a very influential person being a Gram Panchayat Pradhan. In fact, the Gram Panchayat had given a notice to the promoter/victim for stopping work.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail and submits as follows. There are documents to show that the petitioners had taken money in the form of loan from the petitioner. There are statements available in the case diary, which support the prosecution case and there are pictures of the victim and the petitioners to support the contention that there was some kind of a relationship between the petitioner no.1 and the victim.

It is for the trial Court to decide whether, at all, there is any element of abatement of suicide committed by the victim deceased.

However, considering the materials available in the case diary and the roles ascribed to each of the petitioners, I do not think that custodial interrogation of the petitioners is required in this case.

In view thereof, the prayer for anticipatory bail is allowed.

In the event of arrest, the petitioners shall be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/- with two sureties of like amount each, one of whom must be local, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also be subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 corresponding to Section 482(2) of the BNSS, 2023 and on further

conditions that the petitioners shall cooperate with the investigation shall not try to influence the witnesses or threaten them.

The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)