

33
sandip
Ct. 35
13.05.2025

*In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction*

W.P.A. 8695 of 2024

**Jagannath Hazra
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Pintu Karar,
Mr. Sabab Uddin Laskar ... For the petitioner.

Mr. Rajarshi Basu,
Mr. Shehnaz Tareq Mina ... For the State.

Mrs. Shohini Chakraborty ... For the respondent no. 7.

Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das,
Mr. Dipendu Sarkar,
Ms. Debalina De ... For the respondent no. 9.

Learned advocate for the petitioner submits that being aggrieved by the inaction of the police authorities of Amta Police Station, the petitioner approached this Court.

In pursuance to the writ petition being filed during the pendency of the writ petition steps have been taken by the police authorities and in course of investigation finality has been attained so far as investigation is concerned.

The petitioner has taken steps before the jurisdictional Court in accordance with law.

Learned advocate for the respondent nos. 7 and 9 is present.

Mr. Basu, learned advocate, represented the State.

Having regard to the stage of the case, I am of the view that no interference is called for at this stage.

Needless to say that since no affidavit has been invited, accusation made in the writ petition are deemed to have been not admitted.

With the aforesaid observations, W.P.A. 8695 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending applications, if any, is also disposed of.

Parties to act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties subject to compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)