

22.04.2025
Item no.17
Court No.39
ss
(Dismissed)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(M) 84 of 2025

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure 1973/Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Muchipara/DD Police Station Case No.175 of 2023 dated 22.11.2023 under Sections 366A/370/372/373/120B of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 4/17/21 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 and Sections 3/4/5/6/7 of Immoral Trafficking (Prevention) Act, 1956.

And

In Re : Parbati Guria @ Alladi

.... Petitioner

Mr. Arani Bhattacharyya

....for the petitioner

Mr. Subhamoy Bhattacharya

Ms. Ayana Dey

..... for the State

Service report filed by the State is taken on record.

Despite service none appears on behalf of the *de facto* complainant.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for last 1 year 5 months and only 5 out of 20 witnesses have been examined. He seeks for enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Opposing such prayer, learned Advocate for the State submits that the premises in which the victim was immorally trafficked and sexually exploited belongs to the petitioner, which is evident from the statement of the victim before the Magistrate

as well as her deposition in Court. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Perused the case diary and materials on record.

It is found from the statement of the victim girl before the Magistrate that she was taken by the other accused to the premises of the petitioner where she was sexually exploited. Such fact is also being stated by the victim during her examination in Court. Considering the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioner.

Accordingly, the bail prayer is rejected.

Learned trial court is directed to expedite and conclude the trial at an early date without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

The application for bail being **CRM (M) 84 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)