126
10.04.2025
AGM

In The High Court At Calcutta
Special Civil Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

C.P.A.N. 555 of 2024
in
W.P.A. 22332 of 2023

Gupta Engineering Enterprised represented by its sole proprietor namely Subrata Gupta & Anr.
-versus
Sri Sujoy Sarkar, Commissioner, Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation

Ms. Sanghamitra Nandy.
...For the Petitioners.

Mr. Tirthankar Dey.
... for the Bidhannagar Municipal Corporation

1. Learned advocate for the petitioners submit that even though the Corporation has ascertained entitlement of the petitioners to receive payment but apart from issuing a letter requesting the petitioner to provide the GST Tax Invoice, the authority has not taken steps to actually disburse payment in favour of the petitioners.
2. Learned advocate for the Corporation submits that the payment cannot be disbursed in the absence of the GST tax invoice.
3. The petitioners are directed to forward the tax invoice to the Corporation for receiving payment.
4. The contempt application stands disposed of.
5. Urgent certified photocopy of this judgment, if applied for, be supplied to the parties or their advocates on record expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)