

22.05.2025

Item No.40

Crt.02

b.r.

WPA 7786 of 2025

Dr. Anil Kumar Gupta

-vs-

***Steel Authority of India Limited IISCO
Steel Plant & Anr.***

Mr. Sovan Majumder

.... For the petitioner.

Mr. Prantik Garai

Mr. Bandhu Brata

.... For the Respondents.

Mr. Sovan Majumder, learned advocate in his usual fairness submits that this writ petition is not maintainable in this writ jurisdiction and the petitioner should file proper application before the jurisdictional Central Administrative Tribunal.

Mr. Prantik Garai, learned advocate appears for the Steel Authority of India Limited (SAIL).

In view of the above, this writ petition **WPA 7786 of 2025** stands **dismissed**, without any order as to costs with liberty to the petitioner to file appropriate proceeding before the jurisdictional Central Administrative Tribunal on the self-same cause of action.

Mr. Garai has referred to an order dated **April 16, 2025** passed by this Court, the same speaks for itself.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the writ petition. Parties including the SAIL shall be at liberty to urge whatever points they wish to urge before the jurisdictional Central Administrative Tribunal.

The jurisdictional Tribunal shall deal with the matter, if it is filed within **four weeks** from date in accordance with law.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)