

07.05.2025.

PB

Sl. No.26.

Ct. No.25.

WPA 7181 of 2025

Soumen Kumar Mondal

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sanat Kumar Roy,

Mr. Baidurya Ghosal.

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. S. Nayak,

Ms. Rituparna Maitra.

.....For the State.

The petitioner's application dated March 4, 2025, for variation of the route on which he plies his vehicle, that is, Route No.13, 13A, 13B and 13C, is now pending before the competent authority for consideration. The petitioner is aggrieved due to no consideration thereof as yet.

Having heard the learned advocates for the parties and perusing the records, it is found proper to dispose of the writ petition by directing the respondent no.2/Regional Transport Authority, Hooghly, to consider the petitioner's representation dated March 4, 2025, and decide thereupon.

The respondent no.2 shall afford reasonable opportunity of hearing to the writ petitioner and any other parties as it may deem fit and proper to consider the application of the petitioner dated March 4, 2025,

and pass a reasoned order if not the prayer of the petitioner is immediately approved by it.

The entire exercise as above, should be concluded by the respondent no.2, within a period of six weeks from the date of communication of copy of this order and its order shall in turn, be communicated to the petitioner, within one week from the date of the same.

With the directions as above, the writ petition is disposed of.

Since no affidavit is called for in this case, the allegations made in the writ petition, are deemed to have been denied by the respondents.

Urgent certified copy of this order, if applied for, shall be supplied to the parties, upon compliance of all necessary formalities.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)