

**Form No. J(2)**

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA**  
CRIMINAL REVISIONAL JURISDICTION

Present:

**The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta**

**C.R.R. 1345 of 2025**

**Monoranjan Choudhury**

**-vs-**

**The State of West Bengal**

For the Petitioner : Mr. Rakib Hossain Khan  
Mr. Gopal Das  
Mr. Amit Poddar

For the State : Mr. Sumon De  
: Mrs. Rituparna Saha

Heard on : 12.08.2025

Judgment on : 12.08.2025

**Jay Sengupta, J.:**

This is an application seeking an expeditious disposal of Sessions Case No. 229 of 2014 arising out of Manikchak Police Station Case No. 31 dated 07.03.2008 under Sections 302/201/34 of the Indian Penal Code presently pending before the learned

Additional Sessions Judge, 1<sup>st</sup> Court, Malda.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits as follows. The petitioner is the de facto complainant in this case. The case was initiated in the year 2008. Charge sheet was submitted in 2009 and charges were framed in 2014. Yet, till date proceeding could not be concluded. Only 8, out of total 23 witnesses have been examined till now. The proceeding has remained pending for no fault of the present petitioner.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State submits that the trial Court is taking steps to ensure attendance of witnesses. However, the State would not come in the way, if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

No prejudice will be caused to anyone, if a direction is passed to expedite the proceeding.

It appears that there is an enormous delay in conducting the trial, especially considering the fact that the FIR was lodged in 2008 and even charges were framed in 2014.

In such exceptional circumstances, the learned trial Court is requested to conclude the trial in accordance with law and as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournments to any of the parties and by ensuring attendance of

witnesses upon taking stern measures and by fixing a shorter dates, preferably within a period of eighteen months from the next date of hearing.

With these observations and directions, the revisional application is disposed of.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance with requisite formalities.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**