

17.9. 2025
item No.35
n.b.
ct. no. 24

WPA 6484 of 2025

Md. Rahul Karim

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sayed E. Huda,
Sk. Aptabuddin,
Ms. Nabeela Akbar,
..... for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Roy Mukherjee,
Mr. D. Makherjee,
..... for the State.

Mr. Amit Roy,
Mr. Yashraj Roy,
Ms. Sangeeta Singh,
..... for the respondent no.5.

Affidavit-in-opposition on behalf of the private respondent no.5 be taken on record.

Private respondent no.5 is directed to serve copy of the said affidavit-in-opposition upon the State.

State has already filed affidavit-in-opposition on July 7, 2025. Let a copy of the said affidavit-in-opposition by the State be served upon the learned counsel for the private respondent no.5.

The instant writ petition has been preferred challenging the FPS vacancy No.(202200219124).

It is the contention of the petitioner that by declaring such impugned notification, the DRC population of the petitioner would be detagged. By submitting affidavit-in-opposition, the State has disclosed at paragraph 6(a) as follows:

“(a) That in connection with the subject above this is to state that the case is regarding one Fresh FPS Vacancy(20220219124) at Dewanjagir Block-Choprs by rationalisation of existing FPS with excess ration cards. This vacancy was formed from the existing two FPSs of Infaul Karim & Sabina Yasmin not from the petitioner in his instant writ petition.”

In paragraph 6(d) the State has also categorically reported that 2711 number of ration cards has been delinked from FPS of Infaul Karin 133000700013 and Sabina Yasmin-133000700007. Consequently, the above said FPS retains 6329 and 7163 ration cards holders respectively at present and his business will be economically viable after issuance of licence on 15.2.2024. Taking note of the report and statement in the affidavit-in-opposition of the State, it appears to me that the present petitioner must not have any grievance against the impugned vacancy notification.

Considering the same, the instant writ petition is disposed of taking note of the above mentioned portion of the affidavit-in-opposition placed by the State authority.

Interim orders if any passed by this court during the pendency of the instant writ petition stands vacated.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)