

June 26, 2025
18 ARDR
(Rejected)

CRM (DB) 1127 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with
Haringhata Police Station Case No. **236** of **2024** dated **11/5/2024**
under Sections **498A/304B/34** of the Indian Penal Code and
Sections **3/4** of the Dowry Prohibition Act.

And

In Re : Firoj Mondal @ Firoj

... Petitioner.

Adv. Sumanta Das,
Adv. Avilash Tripathi,
Adv. Suman Biswas

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Md. Adil Badr,
Adv. Atulya Sinha,

...for the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than a year and prays for
bail.

Opposing the prayer, learned counsel for the State submits
that one of the accused is still absconding for which the trial cannot
be proceeded with.

I have considered the material on record.

The petitioner is the husband of the victim who expired within
one year and eight months of her marriage in her matrimonial
home. The petitioner who was residing with the victim at the
matrimonial home owes an explanation to the circumstance which
led to her death. In view of the incriminating material against the
petitioner, prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

Learned trial Court is directed to consider splitting up of trial
insofar as the absconding accused is concerned and expedite the
trial without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the
parties.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)