

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
CONSTITUTIONAL WRIT JURISDICTION  
APPELLATE SIDE**

01    19.8.2025  
Sc    Ct. no.2

**WPA 6923 OF 2024**

-----

Sri Kunal Bhadra

Vs.

The Union of India & Ors.

Mr. Shamik Chatterjee  
Mr. Aditya Bikram Mahata  
Mr. Sahil Kabir.

....For the Petitioner

Ms. Chandreyi Alam  
Ms. Runu Mukherjee.

....For the UOI

Mr. Shamik Chatterjee, learned Counsel appears for the petitioner.

Ms. Chandreyi Alam, learned Counsel appears for the respondents Union of India.

Today the writ petition appears under the heading “**To Be Mentioned**” for a typographical **insertion** by way of **correction** in the order dated **August 11, 2025** which has been **omitted** mistakenly, as would be apparent from record.

By consent of the learned Counsel appearing for the parties, the **first line** at the **second page** of the order dated **August 11, 2025** shall include the expression “**Annexure P-3 at page 25 along with Annexure P-6 at page 32 to the writ petition**”.

The above correction incorporated by consent of the parties shall also be read into and incorporated in the order dated ***August 11, 2025***.

The rest of the order remains unaltered and without any modification. It is clarified that, the consent given by the learned Counsel for the Union of India is restricted for the ***typographical correction only***.

**(Aniruddha Roy, J.)**