

71
26.06.2025
Court No.14
AGM

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
SPECIAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

WPCRC 94 of 2025
In
WPA 20790 of 2019

Gouri Shasmal & Ors.
Vs.
Subhas Kandar

Mr. Mukunda Lal Sarkar.
... for the Petitioners.

Ms. Munmun Tewari.
Mr. Sanatan Panja.
...for the alleged contemnor.

Ms. Ananya Neogi.
... for the State.

1. Mr. Subhas Kandar, BMOH, Sabang, Paschim Medinipur is personally present in Court in response to the Contempt Rule issued.
2. Perused the affidavit-of-compliance filed by the BMOH It appears that the petitioners could not be paid the incentive because the scheme of link person stood discontinued after the joining of the Asha Karmee in the Rural Sub Centre Area.
3. The petitioners assert that they performed the work as link person. The said assertion is denied by the BMOH.
4. It appears that a fresh order has been passed by the Authority and the petitioners are aggrieved by the

same. Legality of the said order cannot be adjudicated in the contempt proceeding.

5. It will be open for the petitioners to challenge the said order in accordance with law before the competent forum, if so advised.
6. Contempt application stands disposed of.
7. The Contempt Rule stands discharged.
8. Personal appearance of the BMOH stands dispensed with.
9. Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties expeditiously on compliance of usual legal formalities.

(Amrita Sinha, J.)