

Court No. 2

08.5.2025

(Item No. 14)

(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

W.P.A. 6309 of 2025

Anirban Chakraborty

VS

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sarajit Sen

Mr. Saumyen Datta

Mr. Tapas Singha Roy

.... For the petitioner

Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, Ld. AGP

Mr. Biman Halder

.... For the State

Ms. Sohini Chakraborty

.... For respondent no. 2

Mr. Debjit Mukherjee

Ms. Priyanka Jana

.... For respondent no.3

Mr. Sarajit Sen, learned advocate appears for the petitioner.

Mr. Biman Halder, learned advocate led by Mr. Biswabrata Basu Mallick, learned Additional Government Pleader appears for the State.

Ms. Sohini Chakraborty, learned counsel appears for respondent no. 2, High Court Administration.

Mr. Debjit Mukherjee, learned advocate with Ms. Priyanka Jana, learned advocate appears for respondent no. 3/District Judiciary.

Learned counsel appearing for the district judiciary submits that, they have already placed the necessary report along with all relevant documents before the **Registrar (Judicial Service)** for his consideration in accordance with law.

The learned counsel appearing for the parties submits that, the Rule provides that in case of inter district transfer the application first has to be made by the applicant before the concerned District Judiciary and then the district judiciary shall refer the matter before the **Registrar (Judicial Service)** with all relevant records along with the application submitted by the applicant.

Ms. Sohini Chakraborty, learned counsel appearing for the High Court Administration has confirmed that, the necessary reference has been made before the **Registrar (Judicial Service)** by the district judiciary and now the said Registrar shall take decision in accordance with law after following the procedures.

In view of the above, the **Registrar (Judicial Service)** shall consider the case of the petitioner and take a reasoned decision in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible but definitely within a reasonable period of time.

It is made clear that, this Court has not gone into the merits of the claim of the petitioner in the writ petition. The deciding authority shall take its reasoned decision independently in accordance with law.

The reasoned order shall be communicated to the petitioner by the **Registrar (Judicial Service)**

positively within **a week** from the date of the said reasoned order to be passed.

Since affidavits are not called for, the allegations made in this writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted by the respondents.

With the above observations and directions, this writ petition, **WPA 6309 of 2025** stands **disposed of**, without any order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)