

18 02.04.2025
rkd Ct.18

W.P.A. 6242 of 2025

Sahab Uddin Gazi

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta,
Mr. Saikat Sutradhar,
Mr. Arindam Shit

....for the petitioner.

Mr. Supriyo Chattopadhyay,
Ms. Sayantanee Bhattacharjee

....for the State.

Ms. Koyeli Bhattacharyya,
Mr. Bibek Dutta

....for the WBBSE.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra,
Ms. Supriya Dubey

....for the WBCSSC.

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the
petitioner is taken on record.

At the outset Mr. Sudipta Dasgupta, learned
advocate representing the petitioner on instruction
submits that petitioner is not claiming arrear financial
benefits but notional benefit may be extended to the
petitioner in view of delay occurred in appointing the
petitioner which is not attributable to the petitioner.

On behalf of petitioner it is submitted by the
learned advocate that petitioner participated in 12th
RLST, 2011 and at the first instance petitioner was not
selected. However, on reassessment of marks in
subjects under TET 2011 there was enhancement of
marks which made the petitioner eligible to be
appointed but there was considerable delay in

appointing the petitioner in the post of Assistant Teacher.

Attention of this Court has been drawn to appointment letter issued by the Secretary, West Bengal Board of Secondary Education which is annexed to this writ petition and it is submitted that in terms of the said appointment letter petitioner joined the post of Assistant Teacher on 16th December, 2023.

In course of submission, reliance is placed on order dated 23rd July, 2024 issued by the Principal Secretary, School Education Department, Government of West Bengal, whereby similarly circumstanced candidates were granted notional incremental benefits from November, 2013 though they were appointed after a long period of time. Reliance is also placed on the order dated 4th October, 2024 passed by this Court on a writ petition being WPA **20652 of 2023 (Sourav Naskar Vs. The State of West Bengal & Ors.)**.

On consideration of the issue decided in **Sourav Naskar** (supra), it appears that said order covers the issue involved in this writ petition. Let a copy of the order passed in **Sourav Naskar** (supra) be retained with the record.

Taking note of the decision of the Principal Secretary, School Education Department dated 23rd July, 2024 and the order passed in **Sourav Naskar**

(Supra), concerned State respondents are directed to grant notional benefits to the petitioner from November, 2013 and fix the pay of the petitioner from the date of petitioner's appointment accordingly. For computation of other benefits including pension, date of appointment of petitioner to be reckoned as 1st November, 2013. However, petitioner is not entitled to receive monetary benefits from 1st November, 2013 till the date of his appointment.

The aforesaid exercise shall be completed by the Commissioner of School Education by eight weeks from the date of communication of this order.

In this regard an order is to be passed by the Commissioner of School Education, to be communicated to the petitioner by two weeks thereafter.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be however no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties on usual undertaking.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)