

17.07.2025
Item No.792
Ct. No.35
dc.

W.P.A. 6668 of 2024

**Sri Santu Sardar
versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Rajarshi Basu,
Mr. Anirban Datta ... For the State.

None appears for the petitioner. However,
learned advocate for the State is present.

The writ petition was preferred being
aggrieved by the inaction of the police authorities.
The attention of the Court has been drawn to
different documents which have been enclosed
along with the writ petition. The subject-matter of
the writ petition relates to land dispute and the
petitioner by approaching the Executive Magistrate
in M.P. Case No. 345 of 2024 was aggrieved as the
police authorities did not implement the said order.

Be that as it may, since the orders passed by
the Executive Magistrate are for a limited period of
time and are for the purposes of prevention of
breach and tranquility, as such the nature of the
prayers for construction of boundary wall is
concerned, the same do not fall within the domain
of the Executive Magistrate.

Mr. Basu, learned advocate appearing for the
State opposes the contention advanced in the writ
petition.

Be that as it may, the police authorities would not interfere with any property related disputes, but would obviously ensure that there is no untoward incident resulting from the inimical relationship existing between the parties.

With the aforesaid observations, the writ petition being WPA 6668 of 2024 is disposed of.

Pending connected application, if any, is consequently disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)