

17.06.2025

20
jb.
jdt.

C.R.M. (DB) 1051 of 2025

In Re : An Application under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure/under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with Chetla Police Station Case No. 243 of 2017 dated 09.11.2017 under Sections 376/419 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Surajit Mukherjee @ Rahul

Mr. Abhimanyu Banerjee
Mr. Arnab Saha

... For the Petitioner.

Mr. Arindam Sen
Ms. Rita Dutta

... For the State.

The petitioner is in custody for more than 7 years and prays for bail.

Opposing the prayer learned counsels for the State and the defacto complainant submit that cross-examination of the last witness is going on and the petitioner has taken adjournment before the learned trial Court on two occasions for which cross-examination was deferred.

The prosecution proposes to examine only 16 out of 18 witnesses and cross-examination of the last witness is in progress.

On merits, bail prayer of the petitioner was turned down on earlier occasion and the petitioner does not deserve a favourable order in view of the material on record.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

Considering the period of incarceration of the petitioner, learned trial Court is directed to conclude the trial as

expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, in accordance with law.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)