

29.04.2025
Item no.14
Court No.39
ss
(Dismissed)

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(DB) 962 of 2025

In Re:- An application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with New
Barrackpore Police Station Case No.257 of 2024 dated
04.10.2024 under Section 6 of the Protection of Child from
Sexual Offences Act.

And

In Re : Sunil Haldar

.... Petitioner

Mr. Debasish Kar

....for the petitioners

Mr. Arindam Sen
Mr. Amanul Islam

..... for the State

Service report filed by the State is taken on record.

It is found that service has been effected upon the *de facto*
complainant/victim.

Learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that the
petitioner is the landlord and he has been falsely implicated in
the proceedings by the tenants for their ulterior gains. The
petitioner is aged about 80 years and there are no incriminating
materials against the petitioner. He seeks for enlargement of the
petitioner on bail.

Opposing such prayer, learned Advocate for the State
submits that the victim was aged about three years at the time
of incident and has categorically stated of the overt act of the
petitioner. He seeks for dismissal of the bail application.

Despite service none appears on behalf of the *de facto* complainant.

Perused the case diary and materials on record.

It is found that the victim was aged about 3 years at the time of incident. The victim in her statement before the Magistrate implicates the petitioner of his involvement in the alleged offence, which is also stated by her before the attending doctor during her medical examination. Considering the above materials and the nature and gravity of the offence, this Court is not inclined to grant bail to the petitioners.

Accordingly, the bail prayer is rejected.

The application for bail being **CRM (DB) 962 of 2025** stands dismissed.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)