

D/L37

17.03.2025
Rohit
ct.no.26

C.R.M. (A) 913 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/ under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection Jhargram Police Station Case No. 34 of 2024 dated 02.02.2024 under Sections 379/406/34 of the Indian Penal Code

And

In Re: **Suresh Das @ Bapi**

Mr. Soumyajit Das Mahapatra
Ms. Madhurai Sinha
Mr. Ranabeer Halder

...for the petitioner

Mr. Partha Pratim Das
Mr. Atanu Ghosh

...for the State

1. Petitioner prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that petitioner was falsely implicated. Name of the petitioner transpires from the statement of the co-accused made while in custody. Petitioner was arrested in a separate police case and that order for shown arrest in the present police case was turned down twice.
3. Learned Advocate appearing for the State submits that, the petitioner is involved in an inter-state racket of transferring sponge iron from Bengal to Orrisa. He submits that the petitioner is involved in changing the owner of motor vehicles used for such transfer. He refers to the materials in the

case diary. He submits that production warrant was prayed for and it was subsequently allowed by the jurisdictional court.

4. Petitioner stands implicated in a racket involving illegal transfer of sponge iron from the state to the other state.
5. Materials in the case diary suggest requirement of custodial interrogation of the petitioner. Enlarging the petitioner on anticipatory bail will be inimical to the investigation.
6. In such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
7. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Debangsu Basak, J.)

(Smita Das De, J.)